

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignita 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/036,994	12/21/2001	Minehiro Tonosaki	09792909-5279	5307
26263	7590 06/19/2003		6	
SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL P.O. BOX 061080 WACKER DRIVE STATION			EXAMINER	
			ALANKO, AN	IITA KAREN
CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1765	
			DATE MAILED: 06/19/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· <b>S</b> /h		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/036,994	TONOSAKI ET AL		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Anita K Alanko	1765		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statul.  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed  )) days will be considered timely.  from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·			
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ T	his action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>				
4) $\boxtimes$ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application	on.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-27</u> is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 5</u> is/are rejected.				
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-4 and 6</u> is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b)□ objected to <b>by the</b> l	Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disa	pproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	, ,			
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:				
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documer</li> </ol>	nts have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in Appl	ication No		
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International B</li> <li>See the attached detailed Office action for a lis</li> </ol>	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_		
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	·			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	rovisional application has been	received.		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Infor	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office A	Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 6		

Application/Control Number: 10/036,994

Paper No. 6 Art Unit: 1765

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by McCarthy et al (US 6,139,716).

McCarthy discloses an etching method comprising:

- ➤ a first step of subjecting a plastic substrate 16 to ion implantation treatment, to reform a surface of said plastic substrate, thereby forming a surface reformed layer (col.3, lines 47-67); and
- a second step of subjecting said plastic substrate to a wet etching treatment (col.4, lines 1-3) using a specific etchant (potassium hydroxide solution) by determining an end point of the wet etching treatment with the use of said surface reformed layer.

McCarthy determines an end point and stops the etching (McCarthy does not explicitly disclose how end point is determined, but it is determined since the etching is stopped at some point in time), and as broadly claimed, the surface reformed layer is used during the determination step since it is etched.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/036,994

Paper No. 6 Art Unit: 1765

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCarthy et al (US 6,139,716).

The discussion of McCarthy from above is repeated here. Examiner takes official notice that it is conventional to use an ultrasonic environment to improve etching. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to use an ultrasonic environment in the method of McCarthy in order to improve etching.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7-27 are allowed.

Claims 2-4, 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Examiner's Remarks

Examiner notes that in the specification and claims, "sacrifice" should cite - - sacrificial - to be more clear.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited art shows methods of ion implantation of plastic substrates and etching.

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

> Arita K. Hamly Anita K Alanko Primary Examiner Art Unit 1765

AKA June 16, 2003